



Investigation Summary Report

Private Residence Sarepta, LA

HISTORY

DATE OF INVESTIGATION: NOVEMBER 19th , 2011
PARTICIPANTS: BESS MAXWELL AND JOHN COMBS

NOTE: The clients in this investigation have requested confidentiality. Some of the data in this report may have been obscured to honor their request. This in no way affects the data presented, or any conclusions herein.

BACKGROUND

The clients and family members report seeing a man in a black coat in various areas of the residence, and also a small boy with dark hair. The small child seems shy. There are reports of voices, and of objects being moved from places where they are known to have been left. Flickering lights, red in color, have been seen in some rooms. Doors have been known to open and close, with no one in the area to do so. At many times, the home seems to have a heavy, and oppressive atmosphere.

EQUIPMENT: Digital Cameras, Digital audio recorders, various EMF meters including Tri-Fields, Ion Counters, Infra-sound detection equipment, Digital thermometers, Motion detectors including geophone and laser grid systems, Ovilus interactive voice communications device, and PEAR REG-1 Units for the detection of of anomalous human consciousness with software on Hewlett-Packard computers.

INVESTIGATION

At the times of testing, in the areas tested, no anomalous photos were taken. No data was noted on video. Nothing unusual was detected by laser grid system or geophone. No EVP was recorded. No anomalous EMF fields were noted, although there are some areas of high, natural EMF from older wiring. None of these are in areas where an individual might be exposed for an adequate period of time sufficient to cause hallucinations. No excessive positive ion counts were noted.

No infrasound, nor standing waves of such, were detected at times of testing, in the areas tested. No anomalous hot or cold spots were detected. No anomalous motion was noted.

PART TWO

Consciousness Detection

This type of testing is passive in nature and designed to detect, through the quantum tunneling method, the probability that a human type consciousness may be present affecting the REG-1 units, when all incarnate consciousness has been removed from the areas of testing.. The minimum reporting standard for this type testing is $p < .05$, with p (probability) representing the chance that the event could be the result of chance alone in the probability distribution. Thus, the probability of an anomaly is 95% per test, or greater, to be considered statistically significant. We then compute the probability that all tests are false, that is, that none of the tests indicate an anomaly. A total of 38 tests were run in all areas. At the minimum reporting standard of $.05$, we would expect to receive a total of 1.9 tests due to chance alone affecting the probability distribution, resulting in 1.9 false positives for the human consciousness being the cause. ($38 \times .05 = 1.9$) The actual mean score for the 17 positive tests was $p < .028243$. The correction for the number of expected false positives would be $17 \times .028243 = 1.07$ false positives, which we would round down to 1. As noted, we received 17 positives, much greater then the mathematically expected 1 if only chance were involved. After the room designation, the number included is the test number conducted in that particular room. The test scores are as follows:

COMPUTER 1 1st test at 6:48 PM 1st positive at 7:07 PM

Bedroom Left 2 $z = 2.073$ $p < .019086$

Master Bedroom 2 $z = 1.731$ $p < .041726$

Master Bedroom 4 $z = 2.237$ $p < .012643$

COMPUTER 2 1st test at 7:14 PM 1st positive at 7:14 PM

Bedroom in Back 1 $z = 1.747$ $p < .040319$

Bedroom in Back 2 $z = 1.705$ $p < .044097$

Bedroom in Back 4 $z = 1.922$ $p < .027303$

Hall 1 $z = 2.252$ $p < .012161$

Hall 2 $z = 1.831$ $p < .033550$

Hall 3 $z = 1.772$ $p < .038197$

COMPUTER 3 1st test at 6:44 PM 1st positive at 7:34 PM

Bathroom 4 $z = 1.984$ $p < .023628$

Living Room 2 $z = 2.033$ $p < .021026$

COMPUTER 4 1st test at 6:52 PM 1st positive at 6:52 PM

Kitchen 1 $z = 1.976$ $p < .024077$

Kitchen 3 $z = 2.091$ $p < .018264$

Laundry Room 3 $z = 1.844$ $p < .032592$

Laundry Room 5 $z = 1.734$ $p < .041459$

Laundry Room 6 $z = 1.928$ $p < .026928$

Living Room 3 $z = 1.994$ $p < .023076$

The probability that these 17 tests are all false positives, due to chance in the probability distributions, and not indicative of human consciousness interaction when none incarnate was present to do so, through quantum tunneling is:

PROBABILITY

.0001397

1.397 x 10 TO THE -27th POWER

END OF PART TWO

PART THREE

The Ovilus

SUMMARY OF INTRODUCTION - PART THREE - The data presented here on Part Three IS NOT CONSIDERED EVIDENTIAL by the manufacturer of the Ovilus device or the La. Spirits North Team. It is simply included in the interests of completeness of events, and for study/research purposes only.

Kitchen area: All lists presented in the order words were received.

Cost

Cursed

Both

Sum

Earth

Boots

Lot

Site

Master Bedroom:

James

Attitude

Manual

Passed

Sum

Washington

Tea

Adultery

Focus

Passed

Few

Shoes

Bedroom off Kitchen:

Chris

Flowers

molecule

Two

Ah

Lie

Clean

Jess

Friendly

Beware
Beans
Back bedroom (with aquarium)
Answer
Done
Chain
Anything
Modern
Spread
Pinkie
Demand
Earth
Beans

CLOSING NOTES: PART THREE

There may have been additional incidents, or not. We have presented these incidents in the interests of research. It is acknowledged that answers may, in many cases, rely on judgment as to the accuracy of the answer. If the question, "Is the car red?" is posed, assuming the car's color is not a transition color, the answer of either "yes" or "no" may be easily evaluated. Or, assuming no transition color, the answer to "What color is the car?", the answer "red" may be easily evaluated. Others are not so simple, "Happy", for example. And it is readily acknowledged that those hearing a response from an Ovilus device may NOT have sufficient knowledge to correlate a given answer as relevant or "correct". The volume of comments received from the Ovilus device was moderate, and there may be meanings that those of us not experiencing daily life in this home, or intimately familiar with its history, do not have a connotation for. The list is presented as received, in proper order, in the interest of completeness of research conducted.

END PART THREE

CONCLUSION

There was no data gathered or recorded in photography, audio/EVP, or anomalous motions, or other interactive data. The PEAR/consciousness testing was very strong, with the probability of chance only in the data almost nil. The totality of the evidence and personal experiences is strongly indicative of the presence of anomalous consciousness, at the times of testing, in the areas tested. The data gathered, passive, was very strong. The data from interactive research was almost nonexistent. This is indicative of a presence with a reluctance to interact with those new to the home or facility. We feel that with time, this can be overcome. We would like to the clients for allowing us to perform research in their home, and for their most gracious hospitality. We hope we may be able to return and perform additional research at a later time.

John Combs
La. Spirits, Case Manager
North Team