



Investigation Summary Report

Private Residence
Waskom, TX

HISTORY

Date of Investigation: January 22, 2011

Participants: Bess Maxwell, Tracy Myers, Keith Myers, Tricia Grayson, and John Combs

NOTE: The owner of this home has requested confidentiality. Some information may have been obscured to honor this request. This in no way affects the data presented, or any conclusions herein.

BACKGROUND

The home sits in a rural area, and the client has lived here for several years. The client has indicated the receipt of phone messages left on voice mail from a deceased family member, heard and identified by other family members and friends. A female apparition, wearing a period dress of earlier years, has been seen in the home. Objects, including a vase and a picture, are said to have been moved, dropped, and broken. This movement was consistent with the object being actually moved from its resting state, then dropped, as opposed to a picture or a vase simply falling, both objects seen as this reported event happened. Voices have been heard and objects have been moved, some subsequently being found at a much later period of time, and in locations where they would have been observed quite easily had they been there for this period of time. The client, over a period of time, has recorded a number of EVP voices personally, which have been examined and are of a consistent quality, structure, and type that are generally regarded as EVP voices. These actual recordings are mentioned as claims only, and are not considered as evidence for the purpose of this investigation. The claims as presented by the client are indicative of a multi-spirit haunting, rather than a single entity haunting. Most of the client reported activity seemed to be in the living room/kitchen/dining area, which is one large open room, as well as the master bedroom/master bath area.

EQUIPMENT: Digital cameras, DVR unit with multiple infrared cameras, various EMF meters including Tri-Fields, motion detectors, digital thermometers, ion counters, infrasound detection

equipment, PEAR REG-1 units for the detection of anomalous consciousness with software on Hewlett-Packard computers.

INVESTIGATION

AT THE TIME OF TESTING AND IN THE AREAS OF TESTING:

No anomalous still photos or video was obtained, except as noted below. No hot spots or cold spots, not otherwise normally explainable, were noted. No anomalous motion was noted by the detectors. Ion counts, positive and negative, were normal. No infrasound was indicated. No anomalous EMF fields or spikes were encountered. No personal experiences were reported.

VIDEO: One event was noted, by Tricia Grayson, while seated at the monitor. One camera seemed to move slightly left/right and return to its original position. Camera 3 was covering this camera. The tripod did not move, and the camera movement, side to side, due to camera three's level, was not readily detectable. The camera that was moved was in the location that the client had reported objects being moved, including a picture and a vase. This movement would normally be explained as camera movement by the cable being moved by natural causes, tripping, entanglement, etc. All investigators were in known or photographed locations at the time of movement, none close to the cable. This movement is noted primarily as it is in an area of reported object movement, and we cannot account for the movement of this camera by known natural means. It is noted that investigators were within 4- 8 feet of this camera at the time of movement, under observation of each other, and none could have caused the movement. The fourth investigator, Tricia Grayson was at the monitor, and I, John Combs, was in the hallway, around the corner, away from camera and cable. The client was seated in the dining area, also away from camera and cable, but under observation of the three investigators in the living area. Any movement of the home that would have sufficiently caused camera one to move (vibration, setting of the home), would have also caused camera three to have moved. It is also noted that no unusual noise consistent with vibration, settling, etc., was noted by any party.

CONSCIOUSNESS DETECTION - PEAR REG-1 UNITS

This testing is passive in nature and designed to detect through quantum tunneling, the probability that a human type consciousness may be present affecting the REG- 1 units, when all incarnate consciousness has been removed from the testing areas. The minimum reporting standard for this type testing is $p < .05$, with the .05 being the probability that the event could be the result due to chance alone in the probability analysis. A total of 35 tests were run. At the minimum reporting standard of .05, we could expect to receive 1.75 (round up to 2.0) tests as false positives. The mean score of these fourteen tests was .024410. This means that the corrected number of false positives expected would be 35 tests \times .024410 = .854, rounded up to 1.0, false positives expected by chance.

The test results were as follows:

Master Bath 1 $z = 2.123$ $p < .016877$
Kitchen/Hall 1 $z = 2.010$ $p < .022216$
Kitchen/Hall 3 $z = 1.983$ $p < .023684$
MiddleBR 5 $z = 1.753$ $p < .039801$
MiddleBR 6 $z = 1.819$ $p < .034656$
Kitchen "A" $z = 1.876$ $p < .030328$

