



Investigation Summary Report

Private Residence

Benton, LA

HISTORY

Date of Investigation: June 26, 2010 Primary Investigation
Participants: Bess Maxwell, Abby Ford, Kirk Cormier, John Combs

Date of Follow-up Investigation: July 3, 2010
Participants at follow up: Bess Maxwell, Kirk Cormier, John Combs, Tricia Grayson

NOTE: The owners of this home have requested confidentiality. Some information may have been obscured to honor this request. This in no way affects the data presented, or any conclusions herein.

BACKGROUND

The current owners have lived in this home for over twenty years. No unexplainable activity has been noticed by its owners or guests, until approximately 3 months ago. Since that time, three shadow figures have been reported at night time in the master bedroom, along with the figure of a woman dressed in white. A guest of the clients, unaware of the other sightings, stayed two nights in the guest bedroom across the hall from the master bedroom, where she reported seeing a figure of a woman dressed in white during the night, on both nights of her visit. No other activity, with the exception of a cold spot in the master bedroom within the last week prior to research, and not consistent with the air conditioning system, or a malfunction thereof, has been reported at any time during the clients twenty-year stay.

EQUIPMENT: infrared digital cameras and DVR system, digital still cameras, various EMF meters including Tri-Fields, various digital audio recorders, motion detectors, ion counters, digital thermometers, and PEAR REG-1 units for the detection of anomalous consciousness with software and Hewlett Packard computer systems

INVESTIGATION

Ion counts were low, and consistent with the standard 5:4 ratio. EMF fields were very low, and not considered to be a contributing factor to the appearance of apparitional figures. No anomalies were gathered by video or still photography. No hot or cold spots were detected. Nothing was caught by motion detectors. These conclusions were consistent with both investigations.

PERSONAL EXPERIENCE

June 26, 2010

About 10:20 PM, I began a REG-1 test in the living room area, test LR1, and returned to the breakfast area to set up a second test there on the breakfast table. I set up the test, and stood back to check the screen and setting I had entered. I was facing the screen, with the doorway to the laundry room directly in line over the computer screen. The door opens inward to the laundry room, and was open, against the wall, allowing me to partly see into the room. The door is painted a glossy white, oil-based paint. The far wall, away from the entry door, contained a small night light, which was on, and cast a lighted reflection onto the door. Just as I looked up from the computer screen, my eyes were lined up with this door. For about two seconds, a black shadow came between the light and the door, blocking out the reflection of the nightlight onto the doorway, and the backlighting cast a human-shaped shadow onto the door. Bess Maxwell and Abby Ford were in the back of the house, in another wing of the house. Inspection revealed no one I could see or find that could cause the blockage of light.

Inspection of test LR1, being conducted just the other side of the laundry room, yielded a positive for the presence of an anomalous consciousness with a z- score of 3.34, test ending just slightly after the shadow form was seen. A second test was instituted, but did not yield any positive data. A third test was instituted, set for about 10 minutes to run, and I again returned to the kitchen area. At the end of the time, I went in and found the battery down on the computer, after only about 90 minutes of total running for the evening. Generally, with the REG-1 device, software, and testing, about 2 and ½ hours of battery time is normal. Also, when the battery is low, about 10% remaining, a window opens indicating low battery. At this point, I have found I can generally run about two more 10-minute tests without charging. If the battery gets too low, the screen usually cuts off, allowing the software to continue. When setting up test LR3, no low battery indication was given, and the timer was set to start about 1 minute after set-up. LR3 only gathered data for 58 seconds before total power was lost, and the computer was shut down, a total time of 1 minute, 58 seconds from the time of set-up, and no low power was indicated. Therefore, some type of battery drain had to occur. The 58-second graph was highly significant for the presence of an anomalous consciousness, at the time of the drain of power.

Simply observing a possible shadow in humanoid form, in a dimly lit room, could possibly be attributed to misperception, or a trick of the subconscious, as it was a phenomenon reported by the client, and hoped to be observed by an investigator, thus “wishful thinking”. In this case, my sight was drawn to the area of the form by light from a night light being suddenly blocked, and then, when inspecting that area, a shadow form was observed, consistent with a form blocking the light. A blockage of light, as well as a shadow form, as dual phenomena, would tend to eliminate simply the simple explanation of hallucination or misperception.

EVP - 6-26-10 Two EVP's were gathered by Bess Maxwell and Abby Ford in the master bedroom, seconds apart, neither voice being audible to either investigator at the time of record. The voice was male, and the two phrases were seconds apart. The first indicated "I don't know", and the second "Help me". Knocking noises are prevalent in all environments, and may readily be explained by settling of the building, temperature stresses, and many more. Several instances were recorded when direct requests to knock on the wall were made. It was noted that knocking/rapping generally did not occur as routine/common during the time of the investigation, but did primarily occur as in response to request.

EVP - 7-03-10 The anomalous knocks in response to do so were continued, in the bedrooms areas, as if in effort to communicate. Two actual EVP voices were gathered. The first was by Bess Maxwell and Tricia Grayson in the guest bedroom. Bess Maxwell says "Yeah", and a voice replies, "Hear me". The second was also gathered by Bess Maxwell, in response to Kirk Cormier's indication of hearing footsteps, as I was walking down the hall to go to the master bedroom. Bess replies, "John just went by", followed by Kirk Cormier indicating, " I know but I still hear.....". The voice responds, "We'll see.....".

CONSCIOUSNESS DETECTION - PEAR UNITS/SOFTWARE

This testing is passive in nature, and designed to use to statistically detect by probability, the presence of a human consciousness passively affecting random number generator units by quantum tunneling, when all incarnate consciousness has been removed from the area. The minimum reporting standard of this happening by chance alone, of $p < .05$ is used, the industry standard for reporting in this type testing. On the first investigation, a total of 41 tests were run. Chance alone at the .05 level would yield possibly 2 positive tests as chance alone. Seven were gathered. On the second investigation, 28 tests were run, and 11 were positive.

June 26, 2010

LR1	z= 3.344	p< .000413
LR3	z= 2.228	p< .01294
Dav BR	z= 2.581	p< .004926
Misc BR2	z= 1.833	p< .033401
Dining RM	z= 1.648	p< .049676
Master BR3	z= 2.566	p< .005294
Kitchen3	z= 1.785	p< .037131

The probability that all 7 scores were due to chance in the testing, e.g., all are invalid, is

$p < .0000000000000008586$

July 3, 2010

Breakfast RM2	z= 2.199	p< .013939
Breakfast RM3	z= 1.768	p< .03705
Breakfast RM4	z= 2.302	p< .010667
Kitchen1	z= 2.438	p< .006514
Washroom1	z= 1.780	p< .037538
Dining RM1	z= 1.767	p< .038614
AC Closet2	z= 1.997	p< .022913

AC Closet3	z= 1.714	p< .043264
AC Closet4	z= 1.999	p< .022804
Master BR4	z= 1.697	p< .044848
Master BR5	z= 2.144	p< .016016

The probability that all 11 scores were due to chance in the testing, e.g., all are invalid, is

p< .000000000000000000845

CONCLUSION

On the two visits, at the times of testing, and in the places tested, the data gathered and the statistical evidence for the presence of an anomalous consciousness were strong, and indicative of the probable presence of an anomalous presence. The testimony given by the clients, and the activity either observed or obtained by the investigative team, did not show a malicious presence, or intent. However, as the clients were concerned, as activity of an unknown presence seemed to be happening, and the fact that the home had not only received prior Blessing, but had not shown any anomalous activity, until the time of remodeling in the attic, an unblessed area. Subsequent to the second investigation, all areas of both the home and attic have been Blessed again. We are hopeful that this will ease the concerns of the client for the sanctity of the home, and their lives.

We would like to thank the clients for inviting us into their home, and for their most gracious hospitality. We hope their home remains quiet, but will again respond to any future concerns they may have.

John Combs
La. Spirits